The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was *not* written for publication and is *not* binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 32

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte M. ASHRAF IMAM, BHAKTA B. RATH and TEDDY M. KELLER

JUN 2 6 2003

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application 08/845,897

ON BRIEF

Before PAK, WARREN and KRATZ, Administrative Patent Judges.

WARREN, Administrative Patent Judge.

## REMAND TO THE EXAMINER

We remand the application to the examiner for consideration and explanation of issues raised by the record. 37 CFR §1.196(a) (2003); Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 1211 (8th ed., Rev. 1, Feb 2003; 1200-29 – 1200-30).

Even a cursory comparison of appellants' reply brief filed May 15, 2001 (Paper No. 29), with their supplemental appeal brief filed February 16, 2001 (Paper No. 25), shows that appellants presented significantly different information and arguments in the reply brief with respect to the grounds of rejection set forth in the final Office action mailed April 19, 2000 (Paper No. 16), and advanced on appeal in the answer mailed April 10, 2001 (Paper No. 28). Indeed, in addition to a new explanation of the claimed invention, appellants for the first time present arguments based on specific disclosure in the references as well as specific interpretation

of the appealed claims based on the specification. The examiner entered the reply brief, noting the same and forwarding the application to the Board in the communication mailed January 23, 2002 (Paper No. 31).

Thus, the record on appeal now contains substantial new arguments by appellants aimed at the basic factual underpinning of the examiner's grounds of rejection of the appealed claims to which the examiner has not responded. To the extent that a *prima facie* case of anticipation and obviousness had been made out by the examiner over the references as applied in the grounds of rejection advanced in the answer, the new factual arguments by appellants in rebuttal in the entered reply brief shifted the burden back to the examiner to again establish the factual underpinning of a *prima facie* case under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 (b) and 103(a) on the record as a whole in order to maintain the grounds of rejection. *See generally, In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 1445, 24 USPQ2d 1443, 1444 (Fed. Cir. 1992); *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 707 n.3, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1657 n.3 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Accordingly, pursuant to our authority in 37 CFR § 1.193(b)(1) (2003), we hereby remand this application to the examiner to prepare a supplemental answer for the purpose of responding to the new argument presented by appellants in the reply brief, or to take any other appropriate action consistent with current examining practice and procedure, with a view toward placing this application in condition for decision on appeal with respect to the issues presented.

We hereby remand this application to the examiner, via the Office of a Director of the Technology Center, for appropriate action in view of the above comments.

Under 37 CFR § 1.193(b)(1), the examiner does *not* have the option in the first instance to deny entry of the reply brief where appellants have presented arguments that are *not* limited to new points raised by the examiner in the answer.

This application, by virtue of its "special" status, requires immediate action. See MPEP § 708.01(D) (8th ed., Rev. 1, Feb 2003; 700-121). It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal in this case. See, e.g., MPEP§ 1211 (8th ed., Rev. 1, Feb 2003; 1200-30).

Remanded

CHUNG KIPAK	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	. )	•
Man Soffen	)	·
CHARLES F. WARREN	)	BOARD OF PATENT
Administrative Patent Judge	)	APPEALS AND
Pets F. Kast	)	INTERFERENCES
PETER F. KRATZ	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	)	

Appeal No. 2002-0482 Application 08/845,897

Associate Counsel Patents Naval Research Laboratory Code 3008 2 Washington, DC 20375-5000